EVALUATION GRID – SELECTION STAGE OF THE PARTICIPANTS

Participant: AMADEUS ASSOCIATION

Nr. crt.	Evaluation criteria	Maximum score	Participant's score
1.	Operational and financial capacity	40	36
1.1	Human resources	25	21
	Number of key experts with experience in the assumed type of		10
	activity (proven by CV) proposed for project activities (only		
	experts with higher education).	10	
	• 1 - 4 experts – 10 points		
	• Over 5 experts – 8 points		
	The evaluation of the experts who submitted applications:		
	• Expert with secondary education – 5 points		11
	 Expert with higher education – Bachelor's degree – 10 points 		
	• Expert with higher education – Master's degree– 12 points		
	• Expert with doctoral degree – 15 points.		
	The score is calculated as a weighted average using the	15	
	formula $\sum \frac{NrExp*PctTipExp}{NrTotalExp}$, where:	10	
	- NrExp – number of experts with a certain educational		
	degree		
	- PctTipExp – number of points allocated for the specific		
	degree		
	- NrTotalExp – number of the members – key experts		
1.0	proposed for the implementation team positions		
1.2	Proposed budget by partner – eligible value of the budget for the partner	15	15
	• up to 100.000 euro – 3 points		
	 between 100.001 – 120.000 euro – 10 points 	15	15
	_	15	
	 between 125.001 – 145.000 euro – 10 points over 145.001 euro – 2 points 		
2	 over 145.001 euro – 3 points Operational/professional capacity 	25	20
2. 2.1	Proof of implementation of projects with non-reimbursable,	43	20
4.1	transnational financing	15	10
	2 projects – 5 points		
	 2 projects – 5 points 3 projects – 10 points 		
	 Sprojects – To points More than 3 projects – 15 points 		
2.2	Proof of experience of at least 3 years in the field of project		
<i>4.4</i>	activities		
	• Up to 4 years – 7 points	10	10
	 Op to 4 years – 7 points More than 4 years – 10 points 		
3	Partner contribution to project activities	35	33
3.1	Description of how the transnational partner performs /	JJ	
J.1	implements the assumed project activities, including the		
	correlation with the proposed budget	15	15
	 unsatisfactory – 0 points 		
	 satisfactory – 5 points 		
	building a points		

	• well – 10 points		
	 very well – 15 points 		
3.2	Description of how the transnational partner involves on secondary and horizontal FSE themes, activities for information and promotion, transversal activities	10	9
3.3	Organization and deployment of activities (localization of activities, targeted results and necessary resources)	10	9
	TOTAL	100	89

(1) The qualitative assessment will be done for each expert, the maximum score being the arithmetic mean of the expert scores presented in the offer.

Evaluation Committee:

- Prof. univ. dr. ing. Selişteanu Dan president
- Asist. univ. dr. ing. Şulea Constantin member
- Consilier juridic Ciobanu Laurențiu member
- Mandache Georgeta Secretary