**Annex No. 4**

EVALUATION GRID – SELECTION STAGE OF THE PARTICIPANTS

Participant: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nr.**  **crt.** | **Evaluation criteria** | **Maximum score** | **Participant’s score** |
| **1.** | **Operational and financial capacity** | **40** |  |
| 1.1 | Human resources | **25** |  |
|  | Number of key experts with experience in the assumed type of activity (proven by CV) proposed for project activities (only experts with higher education).   * 1 - 4 experts – 10 points * Over 5 experts – 8 points | 10 |  |
| The evaluation of the experts who submitted applications:   * Expert with secondary education – 5 points * Expert with higher education – Bachelor’s degree – 10 points * Expert with higher education – Master’s degree– 12 points * Expert with doctoral degree – 15 points.   The score is calculated as a weighted average using the formula , where:   * NrExp – number of experts with a certain educational degree * PctTipExp – number of points allocated for the specific degree * NrTotalExp – number of the members – key experts proposed for the implementation team positions | 15 |  |
| **1.2** | Proposed budget by partner – eligible value of the budget for the partner | **15** |  |
|  | * up to 100.000 euro – 3 points * between 100.001 – 120.000 euro – 10 points * between 120.001 – 125.000 euro – 15 points * between 125.001 – 145.000 euro – 10 points * over 145.001 euro – 3 points | 15 |  |
| **2.** | **Operational/professional capacity** | **25** |  |
| **2.1** | Proof of implementation of projects with non-reimbursable, transnational financing | 15 |  |
| * 2 projects – 5 points * 3 projects – 10 points * More than 3 projects – 15 points |
| **2.2** | Proof of experience of at least 3 years in the field of project activities | 10 |  |
| * Up to 4 years – 7 points * More than 4 years – 10 points |
| **3** | **Partner contribution to project activities** | **35** |  |
| **3.1** | Description of how the transnational partner performs / implements the assumed project activities, including the correlation with the proposed budget   * unsatisfactory – 0 points * satisfactory – 5 points * well – 10 points * very well – 15 points | 15 |  |
| **3.2** | Description of how the transnational partner involves on secondary and horizontal FSE themes, activities for information and promotion, transversal activities | 10 |  |
| **3.3** | Organization and deployment of activities (localization of activities, targeted results and necessary resources) | 10 |  |
|  | **TOTAL** | **100** |  |

1. ***The qualitative assessment will be done for each expert, the maximum score being the arithmetic mean of the expert scores presented in the offer.***

Evaluation Committee:

President \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Member 1 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Member 2 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_